

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/080,923 | Applicant(s) ORTHLIEB ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Christopher A. Revak | Art Unit 2131 | |

All Participants:

(1) Christopher A. Revak.

(2) Mandy Jubang.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 December 2006

Time: 5:15pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

27,40

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12/14/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner identified an issue pertaining to non-statutory subject wherein the claims recited of a medium and in light of the specification, the medium can be downloading through use of the Internet. The examiner proposed amending the claims and specification to distinguish between a storage medium and the downloading through use of the Internet. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to expedite prosecution resulting in allowance of the instant application..